PATENT COOPERATION TREA

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: MG **OGILVY RENAULT Suite 1600** 1981 McGill College Avenue

Montréal, Québec H3A 2Y3

SWADEY MAY 0 3 2004 OGILVY RENAULT QUÉBEC

RITTEN OPINION

(PCT Rule 66)

JUL 26 2004

Date	of	mailing
(day	mo	onth/year)

26.04.2004

Applicant's or age	ent's file reference
4.44.40 44 DOT	•

CANADA

REPLY DUE

within 3 month(s) from the above date of mailing

International application No. PCT/CA 03/01141

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

28.07.2003

29.07.2002

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

C12N15/82

Applicant

UNIVERSITE LAVAL et al.

-4	This welliam anining in the	firet drawn up by	thic International	Proliminant	Evamining	Authority
1.	This written opinion is the	ini St urawn up by	/ IIIIS IIIIGITIAIIONAI	rieminiary	Lxamming	Authority.

- This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 2.
 - \boxtimes Basis of the opinion
 - 11 Priority
 - Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Ш
 - Lack of unity of invention
 - \boxtimes Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
 - VΙ П Certain documents cited
 - Certain defects in the international application
 - VIII 🗆 Certain observations on the international application
- The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. 3

See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, When?

request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d).

By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. How?

For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. Also:

For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.

For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

The final date by which the international preliminary 4. examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 29.11.2004

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits)

Faux, K

Bilang, J

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8062



I. Basis of the opinio

1.	With the I filed	receiving Office in respons	of the international application (Replacement shee se to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to i	ts which have been furnished to n this opinion as "originally	
٠		,			
	Des	cription, Pages			
	1-27	·	as originally filed		
		·			
	Clai	ms, Numbers			
	1-24	. '	as originally filed		
	Dra	wings, Sheets		e.	
	1/9-9	9/9	as originally filed	·	
2.	With lang	regard to the language , uage in which the interna	all the elements marked above were available or f tional application was filed, unless otherwise indica	urnished to this Authority in the ated under this item.	
•	The	se elements were availab	e or furnished to this Authority in the following lan	guage: , which is:	
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
			tion furnished for the purposes of international pre		
3.	With	n regard to any nucleotid e rnational preliminary exam	e and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the in nination was carried out on the basis of the sequen	iternational application, the nee listing:	
		contained in the internation	onal application in written form.		
		filed together with the inte	ernational application in computer readable form.	4	
		fürnished subsequently to	this Authority in written form.	# correspond	
		furnished subsequently to	this Authority in computer readable form.		
		The statement that the su in the international applic	ubsequently furnished written sequence listing doe ation as filed has been furnished.	s not go beyond the disclosure	
	☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.				
4.	The	amendments have result	ed in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pag	es:		
		the claims, Nos	::		
		the drawings, she	ets:		
5.		This opinion has been es been considered to go be	stablished as if (some of) the amendments had not eyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	been made, since they have	

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims

1-3,22-24: NO

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

1-6,15,16,18: NO

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet